Veritas: A Multidisciplinary Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities
ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

The Bard's Bedchamber: Reclaiming Sexuality in Shakespeare's Plays and Poems

Anindita Sen, Faculty of English, Durgapur Women's College, Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal, India
Dr. Soumendu Kumar Dutta, Independent Researcher, West Bengal, India

Abstract: This paper delves into the profound and often provocative representations of sexuality in William Shakespeare's oeuvre, moving beyond anachronistic modern categorizations to embrace the early modern period's intricate and fluid understandings of desire, gender, and power. Drawing upon foundational historical scholarship, incisive feminist theory, and groundbreaking queer theory, this study asserts that Shakespeare's plays and poetry offer a rich and complex nexus of sexual expression. His works simultaneously challenge and reinforce the prevailing societal norms of his era, providing fertile ground for continued critical reinterpretation. Through a rigorous analysis of seminal plays and the Sonnets, this paper will meticulously examine themes of normative heterosexual love and marriage, the radical potential and subversive implications of cross-dressing, the sophisticated articulations of homosocial and homoerotic bonds, and the pervasive anxieties surrounding female sexuality and desires deemed transgressive. Ultimately, this comprehensive study aims to demonstrate how Shakespeare's enduring legacy is rooted not merely in his unparalleled literary genius but profoundly in his subtle and often challenging engagement with the very fabric of human desire.

Key Words: Shakespeare, Sexuality, Early Modern, Gender, Queer Theory, Feminist Theory (or Feminist Criticism), Desire, Power, Cross-dressing, Homosocial Bonds, Homoerotic Bonds, Sonnets.

1. Introduction: Navigating the Elusive Contours of Early Modern Sexuality

The discourse surrounding sexuality in William Shakespeare's vast and intricate body of work is as multifaceted as the oeuvre itself. Contemporary audiences, accustomed to a lexicon of fixed sexual identities—heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, and others—often approach Shakespearean depictions of love and desire through a lens that risks anachronism. Such categories, however, were largely absent from the early modern English vocabulary, as Michel Foucault famously illuminated in *The History of Sexuality*. Foucault argued compellingly that the concept of "sexuality" as an inherent, definitional identity, rather than a collection of acts or behaviors, emerged much later in Western thought, particularly from the 18th and 19th centuries onwards (43). Therefore, to genuinely comprehend Shakespeare's engagement with desire, it is imperative to first grapple with the historical specificity and epistemological frameworks of his era.

This paper endeavors to navigate this complex academic terrain by meticulously exploring the diverse manifestations of sexuality within Shakespeare's plays and poetry. It deliberately moves beyond a reductive application of modern labels, instead embracing the fluidity, ambiguity, and performativity that profoundly characterized early modern understandings of gender and desire. By drawing upon an array of critical methodologies, including historicism, feminist criticism, and queer theory, this research aims to illuminate the intricate ways in which

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

Shakespeare both reflected and rigorously interrogated the sexual norms of his time. This study will systematically examine the dominant societal emphasis on procreative heterosexual marriage, alongside the radical potential and disruptive nature of cross-dressing, the often-blurred boundaries of male and female same-sex emotional and physical intimacy, the pervasive anxieties surrounding female agency and desire, and the enduring enigma presented by the Sonnets. Ultimately, this paper contends that Shakespeare's sophisticated portrayals of human relationships continue to provoke, challenge, and resonate with contemporary audiences precisely because they transcend simplistic categorizations, offering a profound window into the timeless and universal complexities of love, lust, and the intricate construction of identity.

2. Early Modern Sexual Paradigms: Deconstructing the Binary and Beyond

A profound appreciation for Shakespeare's complex depictions of sexuality necessitates a thorough understanding of the prevailing social, cultural, and even medical beliefs that shaped the early modern period. Unlike the pervasive two-sex model that largely dominates modern Western thought, early modern physiological and philosophical understanding often operated under a "one-sex model". In this paradigm, the female body was frequently conceptualized as an inverted, less perfect, and internally structured version of the male (Laqueur 25-30). This conceptualization bore profound implications for understandings of gender, physical difference, and particularly for the apprehension of female desire, which was frequently viewed as inherently insatiable, potentially monstrous, and therefore dangerously disruptive to social order.

Procreation stood as the primary, divinely ordained purpose of sexual relations within the confines of marriage, a concept deeply ingrained in both religious doctrine and established social policy. Any sexual act not explicitly aimed at procreation was often broadly condemned as "sodomitical" and deemed "unnatural." This broad category could encompass a wide range of non-procreative sexual behaviors, including male-male sexual acts, female-female sexual acts, and even self-stimulation. However, it is crucial to recognize that the legal and social enforcement of these prohibitions was often inconsistent and, significantly, focused more on the specific act itself and its perceived social disruption rather than on defining or persecuting individuals based on a fixed sexual identity. As Alan Bray's seminal work, *Homosexuality in Renaissance England*, demonstrates, while sexual acts between men were unequivocally condemned, they were not necessarily understood as defining a distinct "homosexual" person or identity in the manner that emerged centuries later. The very concept of an "invert" or a "homosexual type" was yet to be fully articulated.

The unique theatrical context of the Elizabethan and Jacobean stage further complicated these prevailing understandings of gender and sexuality. The convention of relying exclusively on boy actors to portray all female roles introduced intricate layers of gender performance and inherent ambiguity. The sight of a male body dressed as a woman, performing female desire, invited a complex interplay of gazes from the audience—heterosexual, homoerotic, and transgressive. This theatrical practice inherently destabilized fixed notions of gender and sexuality, creating a liminal space where the boundaries between male and female, desire and performance, could be playfully subverted or disturbingly blurred. Juliet Dusinberre, in *Shakespeare and the*

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

Nature of Women, argues compellingly that the presence of the boy actor "created an awareness of gender as performance," suggesting that Shakespeare's stage inherently questioned the naturalness of gender roles (250). Marjorie Garber's *Vested Interests: Cross-Dressing and Cultural Anxiety* further explores this phenomenon, demonstrating how cross-dressing in theatre functioned as a site of both fascination and anxiety, revealing the constructedness of gender categories.

Furthermore, the concept of "friendship" in the early modern period encompassed a far broader and more emotionally intense spectrum of relationships than the term typically implies in contemporary usage. Strong same-sex bonds, particularly between men, were often celebrated for their profound intellectual and emotional depth, sometimes blurring into what modern critics might readily identify as homoeroticism. The effusive language of love and devotion frequently employed in these friendships, especially evident in Shakespeare's *Sonnets*, profoundly challenges modern assumptions about the exclusivity of romantic and sexual affection to heterosexual pairings. As Bruce R. Smith argues in *Homosexual Desire in Shakespeare's England: A Cultural Poetics*, exploring a "poetics of homosexual desire" in this period requires understanding the specific discursive frameworks and cultural myths through which such desires were articulated and perceived, often without the explicit categorization of identity.

3. Love, Marriage, and Subversion: Sexual Dynamics in the Comedies

Shakespeare's comedies, while often concluding with the conventional celebration of heterosexual marriage and social harmony, are paradoxically rich ground for exploring the complexities, contradictions, and subversive undercurrents of early modern sexuality. The trajectory toward marital union in these plays is rarely straightforward, frequently involving intricate plots of disguise, mistaken identity, and the calculated transgression of established social norms.

In plays like *Twelfth Night*, cross-dressing becomes a central and potent mechanism for exploring the fluidity of gender and the ambiguity of desire. Viola, disguised as the young man Cesario, not only unwittingly evokes the ardent desire of Countess Olivia ("Thy lips, thy words, thy looks, thy all / So noble, so true, so rarely kind," Act 1, Scene 5), but also develops a profound and intimate bond with Duke Orsino, who remains entirely unaware of "Cesario's" true biological sex. The celebrated line, "I am not what I am" (Act 3, Scene 1), uttered by Viola, encapsulates the play's playful yet profound exploration of the disjunction between identity and appearance, between essential self and performed role. The homoerotic tension between Orsino and Cesario is undeniably palpable. Orsino expresses a deep affection and reliance on his young page, a bond that in a contemporary context might be readily interpreted as intensely romantic. He declares to Cesario, "I have unclasp'd / To thee the book even of my secret soul" (Act 1, Scene 4), suggesting an emotional intimacy that transcends conventional master-servant relations. While the eventual revelation of Viola's female identity ultimately resolves the comedic plot into heteronormative pairings (Viola with Orsino, and Olivia with Sebastian), the play deliberately lingers on the suggestive possibilities of queer desire and the profound implications of the theatrical performance of gender. The audience, privy to Viola's secret from the outset, is implicitly invited to engage

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

with the layered eroticism of the situation, acknowledging a form of attraction that appears to transcend and even defy conventional gender roles. Valerie Traub, in *The Renaissance of Lesbianism in Early Modern England*, discusses how such cross-dressing scenarios, while often contained by comedic resolutions, nonetheless opened up discursive spaces for imagining or representing non-normative desires.

Similarly, *As You Like It* features Rosalind, who spends a significant portion of the play disguised as the young man Ganymede. In this male guise, she not only playfully yet earnestly instructs Orlando in the intricate "art of wooing," but also, unexpectedly, becomes the object of Phoebe's passionate love. The dynamic between Rosalind/Ganymede and Orlando is particularly intriguing; Rosalind, in her male persona, practices romantic exchanges with Orlando, blurring the lines between mere instruction, genuine friendship, and nascent, unrecognized affection. This sustained performance allows Rosalind to exert a remarkable degree of agency and control over the courtship process, thereby subtly subverting traditional early modern gender roles where women were typically relegated to the position of passive objects of male desire. The play, through these profound gender inversions, reveals the inherently performative nature of both gender and desire, suggesting that attraction can be sparked by qualities and interactions that extend far beyond simplistic, conventional sexual categories.

Even in Shakespeare's more overtly heterosexual comedic narratives, elements of sexual humour and bawdy language are frequently introduced, serving to underscore the pervasive and acknowledged presence of desire within the social fabric. The ubiquitous puns and double entendres found in plays like *Much Ado About Nothing* (e.g., Beatrice's witty banter with Benedick, often laden with sexual innuendo) highlight a cultural comfort and even fascination with explicit sexual allusions, even within a society ostensibly governed by strict moral and religious codes. These comedic elements, while seemingly lighthearted on the surface, serve a deeper purpose: they subtly reveal the underlying anxieties, tensions, and enduring fascinations surrounding sexuality in the early modern period, demonstrating that despite efforts at regulation, desire was a constant and often disruptive force.

4. The Perilous Depths of Desire: Sexuality in Tragedies and Histories

While Shakespeare's comedies often conclude by resolving sexual tensions into harmonious unions, his tragedies and histories frequently delve into the destructive, perilous, and often catastrophic dimensions of desire. In these genres, sexuality is profoundly intertwined with themes of power, ambition, betrayal, and violence, often leading to devastating and irreversible consequences for individuals and the social order.

Othello provides a stark and harrowing examination of sexual jealousy and its catastrophic effects. Iago's malevolent manipulation hinges almost entirely on poisoning Othello's mind with insidious, false images of Desdemona's supposed sexual infidelity. The play thus profoundly reveals deep-seated misogynistic anxieties about female sexuality prevalent in the early modern period, portraying it as inherently vulnerable to corruption, inherently deceitful, and tragically capable of monstrous betrayal. Desdemona's purity and innocence are violently extinguished by Othello's unfounded suspicions, which are meticulously fueled by the era's pervasive patriarchal

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

fear of female agency, female promiscuity, and an imagined, uncontrollable female body. The tragedy brutally underscores how societal pressures and acute male anxieties about cuckoldry could twist and destroy profound love, turning the very essence of desire into a devastating weapon. As Coppélia Kahn explores in *Man's Estate: Masculine Identity in Shakespeare*, male identity in this period was intricately tied to honor and control over female sexuality, making cuckoldry a profound threat.

In *King Lear*, the themes of sexual transgression and filial ingratitude are intimately intertwined, operating on both literal and symbolic levels. Goneril and Regan's ruthless ambition and depraved cruelty are frequently presented through a lens of perverted and unrestrained sexuality, starkly contrasting with Cordelia's chaste and selfless love. Lear's escalating rage at his treacherous daughters is often articulated in terms of their voracious sexual appetites, reflecting a patriarchal fear of female power directly linked to an imagined, unrestrained sensuality. His visceral disgust at their perceived sexual wantonness ("Down from the waist they are Centaurs, / Though women all above," Act 4, Scene 6) is a testament to the era's anxieties surrounding unbridled female desire. The play's bleak and apocalyptic landscape offers little, if any, redemption for transgressive desires, instead highlighting their profoundly destructive force within the precarious social and familial order.

Shakespeare's histories also meticulously delve into the political implications of sexuality. Dynastic concerns, particularly the imperative of securing legitimate heirs and consolidating power, frequently dictated marital alliances, effectively transforming personal love and desire into instruments of statecraft. However, even within these pragmatic and often cold arrangements, transgressive desires inevitably surface, disrupting the political equilibrium. The homoerotic undertones in *Richard II*, particularly evident in the king's intense favoritism towards figures like Gaveston (in Marlowe's *Edward II*, a play often seen in conversation with *Richard II*), or Bolingbroke's accusations against the effeminate king, have been frequently cited by scholars such as Jonathan Goldberg in *Sodometries: Renaissance Texts, Modern Sexualities*. The play subtly hints at an intimacy and partiality that fuels aristocratic discontent and ultimately contributes significantly to Richard's downfall. While not explicitly sexual in a modern, identity-based sense, the intense male bonds and the king's perceived "unnatural" affections challenge the established hierarchical order and contribute powerfully to the narrative of his unfitness to rule. This profoundly highlights how intense same-sex intimacy, even if not explicitly defined as a distinct "identity," could be perceived as a potent threat to social, political, and even monarchical stability.

Measure for Measure directly confronts the complex and often hypocritical interplay of law, morality, and sexuality. The play rigorously explores themes of illicit desire, premarital sex, and the stark hypocrisy of those in power who vehemently condemn what they themselves secretly practice. Isabella's harrowing struggle to maintain her chastity against Angelo's chilling sexual blackmail exposes the profound vulnerability of women in a patriarchal society and the arbitrary, often cruel, nature of moral judgment. The play deeply critiques the rigid repression of natural human desires and starkly illustrates the dangers of a society that rigidly attempts to control and punish sexual expression. The infamous "bed-trick," where Mariana substitutes for Isabella,

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

further complicates notions of legitimate desire, consent, and moral rectitude, blurring the lines between ethical behavior and pragmatic outcomes. The play suggests that a society that ignores or excessively represses fundamental human urges risks moral corruption and social disorder.

5. The Sonnets: A Lyrical Body of Multifarious Love

No comprehensive discussion of Shakespeare and sexuality can be complete without a detailed and incisive examination of his enigmatic collection of 154 Sonnets. These lyrical poems offer a profoundly intimate, often ambiguous, and endlessly debated exploration of themes of love, beauty, desire, immortality, and mortality. Their enduring fascination for scholars and general readers alike lies in their complex portrayal of a speaker's relationships with three central, mysterious figures: a "Fair Youth" (often identified as a young nobleman), a "Dark Lady" (an unnamed, often morally ambiguous mistress), and a "Rival Poet".

The Sonnets addressed to the "Fair Youth" (Sonnets 1-126) are particularly significant for their powerful and often effusive expressions of love, adoration, and intense admiration directed towards a young man. Lines such as "Thou art more lovely and more temperate" (Sonnet 18) or the speaker's lament, "Mine be thy love, and thy love's use their treasure" (Sonnet 20), have fueled centuries of vigorous academic debate regarding the precise nature of their relationship. While some traditional interpretations argue for a purely platonic, idealized friendship, many contemporary critics, particularly those employing the methodologies of queer theory, interpret these poems as clear and compelling expressions of homoerotic desire.

Sonnet 20, in particular, stands as a pivotal text in this discussion. Its famous lines about the "master-mistress of my passion" and Nature having "prick'd thee out for women's pleasure" explicitly play with intricate gender ambiguity and strongly hint at a desire that profoundly transcends simple heterosexual categorization. The "master-mistress" paradoxically combines male and female attributes, presenting a figure who commands the speaker's passion in a way that confounds conventional gender roles. The final couplet, "But since she prick'd thee out for women's pleasure, / Mine be thy love, and thy love's use their treasure," has been intensely scrutinized. While it might seem to deny physical intimacy, its very articulation, alongside the fervent expressions of love throughout the sequence, powerfully suggests a complex emotional and perhaps even physical longing for the Youth. These sonnets, therefore, directly challenge heteronormative assumptions by foregrounding intense affection, profound adoration, and even possessiveness directed toward a male figure, unequivocally inviting a queer reading that acknowledges and validates a broader spectrum of early modern desires and relationships. As Melissa E. Sanchez argues in *Shakespeare and Queer Theory*, these texts provide a "valuable archive for queer studies" precisely because they compel us to "formulate nonce terms and taxonomies" beyond established categories (165).

The "Dark Lady" Sonnets (Sonnets 127-152) present a striking and often disturbing contrast to the idealized love for the Fair Youth. This sequence depicts a more overtly sexualized and often fraught relationship – one frequently characterized by raw lust, profound betrayal, and a stark recognition of the destructive, tormenting power of purely physical attraction. These sonnets portray a relationship filled with passion and pain, highlighting the darker, more unsettling

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

impulses of desire. The speaker's attraction to the Dark Lady is often presented as a moral failing, a source of profound torment, or even a form of enslavement ("In nothing art thou black save in thy deeds," Sonnet 131), yet it is undeniably, compellingly visceral and inescapable.

The Sonnets, taken as a complete cycle, therefore do not offer a singular, monolithic view of sexuality. Instead, they present a rich and complex tapestry of desire that includes: intense and ambiguous male friendship; explicit and implicit homoerotic longing; passionate, sometimes agonizing heterosexual attraction; and the painful, often debasing realities of pure lust and infidelity. Their inherent ambiguity, their resistance to easy categorization, and their capacity to articulate profound emotion across diverse relational configurations make them an enduring touchstone for contemporary discussions about the historical fluidity of sexual expression and the timeless power of language to articulate the multifaceted forms of human love.

6. Critical Lenses: Feminist and Queer Reinterpretations of Shakespearean Sexuality

Modern critical approaches have fundamentally revolutionized our understanding of Shakespeare's engagement with sexuality, allowing for richer, more subtle, and politically engaged interpretations. Feminist criticism, emerging forcefully in the latter half of the 20th century, has been instrumental in rigorously re-evaluating the portrayal of female characters and the intricate dynamics of gender power in Shakespeare's plays. Early feminist readings often meticulously highlighted the pervasive patriarchal structures that systematically limited women's agency, constrained their voices, and denied them social and intellectual autonomy. These analyses scrutinizingly examined how female characters were frequently defined solely by their relationships to men (daughters, wives, mothers) or subjected to explicit male control and domination.

However, later waves of feminist criticism moved beyond a focus solely on oppression to explore moments of female subversion, resilience, and agency within these seemingly insurmountable patriarchal frameworks. Scholars meticulously examined how characters like Portia in *The Merchant of Venice* (who deftly manipulates legal discourse and male disguise to achieve her aims) or Beatrice in *Much Ado About Nothing* (who employs wit and verbal dexterity to challenge conventional gender expectations) manage to manipulate or defiantly challenge societal expectations. Feminist scholars, including Juliet Dusinberre and Coppélia Kahn, have profoundly illuminated the pervasive misogyny, the deeply entrenched anxieties about female sexuality (e.g., the male fear of cuckoldry), and the blatant societal double standards that are consistently evident throughout many of Shakespeare's dramatic works. They have shown how female desire, when expressed outside of patriarchal control, was often demonized or pathologized.

Queer theory, a more recent but equally impactful theoretical lens, has further expanded and complicated the conversation surrounding Shakespeare and sexuality. Moving beyond simply identifying "gay" or "lesbian" characters (a potentially anachronistic exercise given the historical context), queer theory critically examines how Shakespeare's texts and their theatrical performance inherently disrupt heteronormative assumptions and present alternative, often ambiguous, configurations of desire, gender, and identity. Scholars like Jonathan Goldberg and

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

Valerie Traub have argued forcefully that the early modern period, while indeed lacking the rigid, identity-based categories of modern sexuality, nevertheless contained a rich and vibrant landscape of same-sex practices, affective bonds, and potent homoerotic possibility.

Queer readings meticulously explore the profound significance of boy actors playing all female roles, underscoring how this theatrical convention inherently created a fluid space for gender performance and potentially destabilized fixed sexual orientations for the audience. They investigate the intense homosocial bonds prevalent in male friendships, often expressed in language that, to a modern ear, sounds profoundly intimate and even romantic. The ambiguous nature of the Sonnets, particularly the Fair Youth sequence, is a cornerstone of queer theoretical engagement, as are instances where characters within the plays express desires that deviate from socially sanctioned, procreative heterosexuality. This approach fundamentally emphasizes the historical contingency of sexual categories, arguing that what constitutes "sexuality" is not universal but culturally and historically constructed. As Melissa E. Sanchez elaborates, queer theory allows for "forms of homoeroticism before nineteenth-century identity categories" and explores the "insufficiency of either simple identification with or distinction between early modern and modern forms of desire" (75). It highlights how Shakespeare's plays can be "queered" in both performance and interpretation, continually revealing new layers of meaning and challenging conventional, often reductive, understandings of gender and desire.

Both feminist and queer theories converge in their emphasis on the performance of gender and sexuality within Shakespeare's plays. They collectively acknowledge that these concepts are not natural, immutable, or fixed essences, but are instead actively constructed through intricate social roles, the power of language, and the dynamic act of theatrical presentation. These critical lenses invite us to read and experience Shakespeare not merely as a passive reflection of his time, but as a prescient playwright who, perhaps inadvertently or perhaps deliberately, offered profound glimpses into a more expansive, more fluid, and less rigidly categorized understanding of human desire than was subsequently codified in later centuries.

7. Conclusion: Shakespeare's Enduring Sexual Resonance

Shakespeare's profound and multifaceted exploration of sexuality is undeniably complex, frequently contradictory, and endlessly fascinating. His plays and poems grapple with universal themes of love, lust, gender, and power in ways that continue to resonate with compelling force with contemporary audiences. While it is paramount that we approach his works with a rigorous understanding of the distinct early modern social, cultural, and epistemological landscape, the incisive insights offered by both feminist and queer theory allow us to uncover the radical potential, the subtle intricacies, and the remarkably diverse expressions of desire embedded within his enduring texts.

From the playful and often subversive gender inversions so prominent in his comedies (such as Viola's disguise in *Twelfth Night* and Rosalind's in *As You Like It*), to the devastating and tragic consequences of unchecked passion (as vividly portrayed in *Othello* and *King Lear*), and the enigmatic yet deeply intimate confessions found within the Sonnets (particularly those addressed to the Fair Youth), Shakespeare's immense oeuvre consistently demonstrates a profound

ISSN: 3107-748X (Online)

and sustained engagement with the human experience of desire in all its intricate forms. He masterfully depicts the societal pressures and anxieties surrounding sexual expression, the performative and often fluid nature of gender, and the emotional complexities inherent in both heterosexual and, significantly, same-sex attractions.

Ultimately, Shakespeare's enduring relevance to contemporary discussions of sexuality lies in his inherent refusal to simplify or neatly categorize the boundless intricacies of human relationships. He presents a literary and theatrical world where love can be profoundly transformative or tragically destructive, where gender can be performed or appear innately felt, and where desire can defy and transcend facile categorization. By continually re-examining his works through contemporary critical lenses – such as those provided by feminist and queer theory – we not only gain deeper and more accurate insights into the unique world of early modern England, but we also immeasurably enrich our understanding of the timeless and ever-evolving landscape of human sexuality itself. Shakespeare, as a truly unparalleled observer of the human condition, continues to invite us, through his unparalleled literary artistry, to question, to explore, and to embrace the rich, diverse, and often unclassifiable spectrum of desire that profoundly defines our shared humanity.

Works Cited

Bray, Alan. *Homosexuality in Renaissance England*. Columbia University Press, 1995.

Dusinberre, Juliet. *Shakespeare and the Nature of Women*. Macmillan, 1996.

Foucault, Michel. *The History of Sexuality, Vol. 1: An Introduction*. Translated by Robert Hurley, Vintage Books, 1990.

Garber, Marjorie. *Vested Interests: Cross-Dressing and Cultural Anxiety*. Routledge, 1992.

Goldberg, Jonathan. *Sodometries: Renaissance Texts, Modern Sexualities*. Stanford University Press, 1992.

Kahn, Coppélia. *Man's Estate: Masculine Identity in Shakespeare*. University of California Press, 1981.

Laqueur, Thomas. *Making Sex: Body and Gender from the Greeks to Freud*. Harvard University Press, 1990.

Sanchez, Melissa E. *Shakespeare and Queer Theory*. Bloomsbury Arden Shakespeare, 2019.

Smith, Bruce R. *Homosexual Desire in Shakespeare's England: A Cultural Poetics*. University of Chicago Press, 1991.

Traub, Valerie. *The Renaissance of Lesbianism in Early Modern England*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

Traub, Valerie, et al., editors. *The Cambridge Companion to Shakespeare and Sexuality*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.